

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DONNA CORBELLO, }
vs. } Plaintiff, } Case No. 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL
THOMAS GAETANO DEVITO, et al., } Defendants. } **ORDER**
(Mot to Compel - Dkt. #362)

The court conducted a hearing on April 14, 2011, on the parties' numerous discovery motions. The court heard Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. #347) and eleven other substantive discovery motions and related requests for relief. Gregory Guillot, George Paul and Robert McKirgan appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs, and Samuel Lionel, Daniel Mayeda, David Korzenik, Maximiliano Couvillier, III, and Eric Swanis appeared on behalf of the Defendants. This order disposes of the following moving and responsive papers:

- #362 Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and the Production of Documents by Defendant, Des McAnuff;
- #368 Sealed Exhibits to #362 Motion;
- #423 New Defendants' Consolidated Opposition to Plaintiff's Five Motions to Compel (Docs., 356, 360, 362, 371, and 375); and
- #490 Plaintiff's Consolidated Reply re: Motions to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and the Production of Documents by Defendants Brickman, Elice, and McAnuff, (Docs. 362 & 375), and Consolidated Memorandum in Support

This motion involves Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents served on the named Defendants January 9, 2009. The responding Defendants served their responses March 16, 2009. Having reviewed the voluminous moving and responsive papers, supporting declarations and exhibits, and arguments of counsel,

1 **IT IS ORDERED** that

2 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and the Production of
3 Documents by Defendant, Des McAnuff (Dkt. #362) is **GRANTED in part** and
4 **DENIED in part**. The motion is granted to the extent that:

5 a. Defendant's general objection and refusal to produce responsive documents prior
6 to the time this lawsuit was filed in December 2007, is **OVERRULED**. To the
7 extent the Defendant has responsive, non-privileged documents prior to
8 December 2007, the documents shall be produced. To the extent the Defendant
9 claims documents generated subsequent to December 2007 are privileged, he
10 shall serve a supplemental privileged document log. However, responding
11 Defendant need not list documents consisting of communications to and from
12 litigation counsel made on or after notice of this lawsuit on the supplemental
13 privilege log.

14 b. Defendants shall supplement Answer to Interrogatory No. 1 to identify any
15 written agreements Defendant McAnuff has, and the entities with whom he has
16 agreements, to perform any type of service in connection with *Jersey Boys*.

17 c. Defendants shall supplement Answer to Interrogatory No. 4. The court finds the
18 answer non-responsive. The interrogatory asks the date Defendant McAnuff first
19 became aware of Rex Woodward, not when he first became aware of this lawsuit
20 which the answer addresses. If he did not become aware of Mr Woodard until
21 after the lawsuit was filed, he need only say so.

22 d. Defendant shall supplement his Answer to Interrogatory No. 18 to confirm that
23 he has conducted a diligent search for and produced all responsive documents in
24 his care, custody and control.

25 e. Defendant shall supplement his Answer to Interrogatory No. 22 to confirm that
26 he has conducted a diligent search for the email described in his answer and has
27 either located and produced it, or has been unable to locate and produce it despite
28 the exercise of due diligence.

1 f. Defendant shall supplement Answer to Interrogatory No. 29 which the court
2 finds non-responsive. The interrogatory requested information concerning his
3 knowledge of any dispute concerning *authorship of Jersey Boys*. The answer
4 referred to a dispute concerning “ownership”.

5 g. Defendant shall supplement his Response to Request for Production No. 6 to
6 verify that he has conducted a diligent search for responsive documents, ie,
7 documents relating to Mr DeVito giving the Defendant a copy of the Work, and
8 either has no responsive documents, or has located and produced them.

9 h. Defendant shall supplement his Response to Request for Production No. 7 to
10 verify that he has conducted a diligent search for responsive documents, ie
11 documents containing public statements the Defendant made about the Work,
12 and either has no responsive documents, or has located and produced them.

13 i. Defendant shall supplement his Response to Request for Production No. 11 to
14 verify that he has conducted a diligent search for responsive documents and has
15 produced all agreements (contract documents) he has had concerning *Jersey Boys*
16 or has been unable to locate them despite the exercise of due diligence.

17 j. Defendant shall supplement Response to Request for Production No. 15 to
18 verify that he has conducted a diligent search for documents involving
19 communications with Defendants Valli, Gaudio and the Four Seasons
20 Partnership about the idea to produce a play or musical about the Four Seasons
21 and either has no responsive documents, or has located and produced them.

22 2. Defendants shall have until April 29, 2011, to serve supplemental responses to these
23 discovery requests.

24 ///

25 ////

26 ///

27 ////

28 ///

3. Any request for relief in the moving and responsive papers not specifically addressed in this order is **DENIED**.

Dated this 15th day of April, 2011.

Peggy A. Leen
Peggy A. Leen
United States Magistrate Judge